

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/656,012	09/05/2003	W. John Gardenier	1442.033C	8159		
23405	7590 09/29/2004	EXAMINER				
11202111110	THENBERG FARLE	PHILLIPS, CHARLES E				
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3751			

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T		4 11 1/1		1	_/\_				
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	(	\ <i>\</i> \					
		10/656,01	2	GARDENIER ET AL. V							
		Examiner	-	Art Unit	Art Unit						
		Charles E.	•	3751							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status	•										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	Nugust 2004.									
2a)□		s action is no	on-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposit	ion of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-26,31,32 and 38-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 21-23,27-30 and 33-37 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>										
Applicat	ion Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>											
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03.	)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	)					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,012

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-23, 27, 29, 30, 33 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diamond.

See Fig. 2 where a source of sound waves is down stream of the speakers 40, the sound wave guides are the speaker wires. The claim 2 sound emitting orifice is seen at 36 and its surrounding structure. The claim 3 perforations are seen at 52.

Re: claim 27, the wires or the insulation surrounding the wires constitutes a conduit.

Claims 29, 30, 33 and 35-37 are rejected as claims 21-23 supra.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond, as applied supra, in view of Lancon.

Lancon teaches the use of sound wave conduits 4a and 4b to transmit sound in a headrest. It would have been obvious to employ this scheme in or in lieu of that taught by Diamond, as to employ one known sound transmitting scheme for another would have been prima facie obvious to the ordinary artisan.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,012 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 24-26, 31, 32 and 30-40 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/11/04.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E. Phillips at telephone number (703) 308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner